

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,044	02/27/2004	Kie Y. Ahn	Kie Y. Ahn 1303.070US2		
21186	7590 03/21/2005		EXAMINER		
	AN, LUNDBERG, W	MENZ, DOUGLAS M			
P.O. BOX 293 MINNEAPOL	.is, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2829		
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/21/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
•		10/789,0	44	AHN ET AL.	(m)			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Douglas I	M. Menz	2829				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failur Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evunication.)) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and wwill, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be time tutory minimum of thirty (30) day: ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This action is r	non-final.		•			
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-40 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen								
	·							
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, claims 1-4, directed to an electronic device with a dielectric layer containing a TiOx layer doped with a lanthanide.

Species II, claims 5-8, directed to an electronic device with a dielectric layer containing a TiOx layer doped with Nd.

Species III, claims 9-12, directed to an electronic device with a dielectric layer containing a TiOx layer doped with Tb.

Species IV, claims 13-16, directed to an electronic device with a dielectric layer containing a TiOx layer doped with Dy.

Species V, claims 17-24, directed to transistor with a dielectric layer containing a TiOx layer doped with a lanthanide.

Species VI, claims 25-28, directed to a capacitor with a dielectric layer containing a TiOx layer doped with a lanthanide.

Species VII, claims 29-34, directed to a memory structure with a dielectric layer containing a TiOx layer doped with a lanthanide.

Species VIII, claims 35-40, directed to an electronic system with a dielectric layer containing a TiOx layer doped with a lanthanide.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to David Cochran on 3/14/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,044

Art Unit: 2829

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas M. Menz whose telephone number is 571-272-1877. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on 571-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

DM

DAVID ZARNEKE PRIMARY EXAMINE

3/17/05